



I'm not robot



Continue

## Pa4 medical consultation report form

Benefits and work extract, 3 November 2015 You may have never seen it. Or maybe you only saw your own. Which is why we have now published a sample PIP PA4 medical report form. If you've never had a PIP medical it gives you the opportunity to know in advance what kind of evidence a paramedic will collect about you. Which means you can prepare more efficiently to try to ensure that they get all the evidence they need to compile an accurate report. If you already have your own PIP medical report, it gives you the opportunity to compare it with ours. Our sample is by no means good news. It's supposed to be about average and there are definitely plenty of reasons to question it... Further information can be found Dear Sir/Mrs FORMAL COMPLAINT Consultation date: [REDACTED] Consultation site: [REDACTED] Consultation time initiated: [REDACTED] Consultation time ended: [REDACTED] Name of the consultation expert: [REDACTED] Expert type: Disability assessor - Nurse I received yesterday from DWP my PIP consultation report form PA4 V3 and I attach: &amp; Refute PIP consultation message form PA4 V3 Annex 001: Client questionnaire. Annex 002: Scanned letter from the DVLA. Attachment 003: Picture of the hearing aid set. I went into a mindset, I'll be polite and keep myself under control when I tell the truth, then nothing goes wrong, all the stories of capita pip's maladministration from PIP in the face of evaluation can't be true how wrong I was. Next time I'll be demanding recording, although I can buy a double compact disc recorder myself when I can't find anywhere to hire one. I can honestly say I'm not sure where. start with pa4 v3, after reading several times I can honestly say [REDACTED] had some kind of bias against me, we had a disagreement about me referring to the client questionnaire and I believe that [REDACTED] didn't like me saying [REDACTED] rules and this fabricated message is [REDACTED] revenge for having [the coin sentence]: [REDACTED] nose put out common. First of all, it's almost completely wrong. It's an unbalanced rating, I didn't get 1 point, not 1, how is that possible? I am in the ESA support group and currently have an indeterminate DLA award for low care rates and high mobility. It would be a little more believable if I got a few points back and forth, but for someone with my disability range for the time I've had, how can a zero score be credible? The report makes procedural and material errors and omissions. There are complete fabrications, [REDACTED] lied in more than one place. I would suggest Capita PIP never employs this [REDACTED] to do reviews of everyone again as [REDACTED] has brought your company into disausion. I'm going to represent to revoke the approval of this healthcare professional. I will also refer [REDACTED] to the [REDACTED] sister of the governing body as I intend to file a complaint against [REDACTED] directly and see it ticked off. [REDACTED] had a duty of care to me as a nurse who [REDACTED] has woefully fulfilled and should never be allowed near patients of any type in the future. I'm also considering a direct defamation case against [REDACTED]. I would suggest you read the attached documents carefully and warn you that I should not get the PIP level I am entitled to because of this fabricated document I will file a lawsuit against Capita PIP, in the same way Vanessa Haley secured a £5,000 payment order against ATOS from the court. And I will continue to sue every month for the loss of the PIP level I am entitled to until I am no longer able to claim that 65 I believe. I am absolutely disgusted by this maladministration and defamation by a representative of Capita PIP. At the end of the report [REDACTED] confirms: I can confirm that there is no malicious information in the message. I wouldn't agree, my own plan for myself was to start a business from home with a website to work around my disability, I studied law in my spare time as well, if in the next year or two I can get access to a university certificate in law and criminology and at that time get myself well enough to attend [REDACTED] Law School, but may not be able to get myself well enough to attend and will have to do it through an open university. Now my thoughts are turning to, so maybe I should give up and do what I had planned in 2001 to buy a peace pill manual and try to get the medication over the internet, or get myself a suicide bag kit Freshly [REDACTED] So on the day of writing, I have an open complaint with the DWP, an open complaint with the NMC (Nursing && The Midwife Council) and Capita PIP just sent their final response to my level 2 complaint, so it is going to be forwarded to ICE (Independent Complaints Examiner) Also, me is who I am, a person who can find out something about someone if it is on the internet, decided to do an unusual tactic. I found my DA/HCP facebook and home address. I on Facebook sent the following DA / HCP: Please tell me that you are an attorney name and business contact details No reply and I was blocked. [REDACTED] has a business on Facebook, so through business messenger I sent: Please forward me the lawyer's name and business contact details Reply: Thanks for messaging us. We'll get back to you as soon as we can. Why? My answer: I intend to launch a lawsuit against you personally and I will have to start by sending a notice of intent to my attorney reply and I was blocked: Haha ☹ for what? So I sent the following [REDACTED] partner: Please forward the following message to your as [REDACTED] has blocked facebook a recorded delivery version will be forwarded to your home address next week: Let us check that I have the correct [REDACTED] first of all. I assume it's you: Consultation date: [REDACTED] Consultation site: [REDACTED] Consultation time initiated: [REDACTED] Consultation time ended: [REDACTED] Name of professional consultation: [REDACTED] Type of expert: Disability assessor - Nurse If you're my claim against you relate to the content of pip consultation report form PA4 V3 for maladministration and defamation (defamation) or criminal misconduct in public office, which caused me to suffer a monthly loss of £320 from April 2018 ongoing until the matter is rect repaired through a mandatory reassessment or/or appeal. Since Capita PIP will only consider complaints regarding da/hcp conduct, such as delay or rudeness, the content of the report, if there are allegations of maladministration and defamation (defamation) or a crime of misconduct in public office, becomes the personal responsibility of the DA/HCP. Before proceeding with a small claim against you under the pre-litigation protocol, I must first present my problem and offer the proposed defendant to resolve the dispute without going to the courts. There is also a previous body to point out: The court ruled that disabled women should be awarded £5,000 compensation by government contractor Atos after a dishonest report by one of its assessors led to her being awarded poor levels of benefits. Vanessa Haley, from Huddersfield, told the District Court in her written evidence that the assessor had sought to defend her claim to an increased level of daily life component of personal independence payments (PIP) by falsifying her assessment report. This may be the first time an attempt has been made to personally accountability assessors for their behaviour, but as Lord Denning once said: What is the argument on the other side? Just that there was no case in which it was done before. I don't like this argument in the slightest. If we never do anything that hasn't happened before, we'll never get anywhere. The law will stand still as long as the rest of the world continues, and that will be bad for both of them. Packer v. Packer [1954] S. 15 in 22. No answer. Two letters were then sent to the recorded delivery 7 days apart, both were signed for [REDACTED]. Letter 1: Dear [REDACTED] FORMAL NOTICE OF COMPLAINT ABOUT THE INTENTION TO LITIGATION. Consultation date: [REDACTED] Consultation site: [REDACTED] Consultation time initiated: [REDACTED] Consultation time ended: [REDACTED] Name of professional consultation: [REDACTED] Type of expert: Disability assessor - Nurse I received from DWP my PIP my consultation report form PA4 V3 and Friday's decision letter, I received 0 points even after sending DWP refute because they have only seemingly considered PA4. I understand that Capita PIP has a clause in the contract that says that disability assessors will not be personally responsible for their actions, but you cannot enter into a law agreement. For example, if an individual who placed polonium in Alexander Litvinenko's tea is ever found he or she will not be able to use the defense of a foreign government said that I would be protected and do not face any personal responsibility. Since Capita PIP will only consider complaints regarding da/hcp conduct, such as delay or rudeness, the content of the report, if there are allegations of maladministration and defamation (defamation) or a crime of misconduct in public office, becomes the personal responsibility of the DA/HCP. Before proceeding with a small claim against you under the pre-litigation protocol, I must first present my problem and offer the proposed defendant to resolve the dispute without going to the courts. There is also a previous case to point out: The court ruled that a disabled woman should be awarded £5,000 compensation by government contractor Atos after a dishonest report by one of its assessors led to her being awarded the wrong level of benefits. Vanessa Haley, from Huddersfield, told the District Court in her written evidence that the assessor had sought to defend her claim to an increased level of daily life component of personal independence payments (PIP) by falsifying her assessment report. I claim that you personally are responsible for a loss starting in April 2018 of £320 per month, which is why I will seek to test the personal fault of disability assessors through the small claims court system. You have until the end of April 2018 to hand me the amounts of £320 and then the amount of £320 at the end of each month until the DWP fixes the problem with either a mandatory reassessment or/or appeal. I understand that this process can take up to 2 to 3 years, which is the period during which I will constantly bring cases against you if I win in the first test case. This may be the first time an attempt has been made to personally accountability assessors for their behaviour, but as Lord Denning once said: What is the argument on the other side? Just that there was no case in which it was done before. I don't like this argument in the slightest. If we never do anything that hasn't happened before, we'll never get anywhere. The law will stand still as long as the rest of the world continues, and that will be bad for both of them. Packer v. Packer [1954] S. 15 in 22. Sincerely [REDACTED] Letter 2: Dear [REDACTED] It has been seven days since you collected my last letter from the Post Office and you have not been involved in the litigation process. I would like to advise you with all urgency to seek legal advice, as the judicial system has no sympathy for individuals who in connection with litigation. You cannot avoid this process, I will appoint you to court documents as defendant personally, not Capita PIP as I am personally responsible for your failure to either ex contract or ex delicto. Since this matter could not be resolved amicably and it is clear that legal proceedings may be necessary, I am writing in accordance with the practical direction of pre-action behaviour. After considering this matter, I have decided the reason for the lawsuit I will file against you is nonfeasance and/or misdemeanor and/or misdemeanor. Inaction is inaction when legal action is required — intentionally or in negligence. A misdemeanor is intentional misconduct or intentional misconduct or advice. An offence is a deliberate and intentional act which harms the party. Facts: I was granted an indefinite DWP granting low rates of care and a high mobility disability living allowance due to how my various health problems affect me on a daily basis. Principle R(M) 1/96 applies to the re-evaluation of DLA to PIP and is covered by law and regulation in how it is to be implemented. The Upper Tribunal made this decision (R(M) 1/96 principle) on 17 January 2018. Since it applies the new legal principle, it applies only to claims decided upon by the DWP after that date. I had an appointment for face PIP 2 on [REDACTED], which started at [REDACTED] and ended up on [REDACTED] performed by a [REDACTED] nurse registered with the NMC. The report from this face 2 face awarded 0 points for all descriptors, that is beyond the scope of this letter to list all the issues that will be more fully explored in the skeleton argument and evidence for the court, but

I will make 2 short points. 1) Hearing aids, I wear them in both ears, it was noticed on the right-hand side of the ear, but still awarded 0 points for the descriptor and the nurse stood to my left when doing physical, so he should see on the left side, or the nurse could simply ask me to examine them. 2) Regulation 1.6.45 Before concluding personal consultations, HP should provide applicants with an overview of the findings they have inferred from the consultation, including data on fluctuations and variability in the function they have recorded. Applicants should be invited to clarify all points and to ask them any questions concerning the assessment procedure and to ask if they would like to include anything else. HP should always try to respond to any issues or concerns it raises. Regulation 1.6.45 was not complied with, if the nurse complied, I would correct the failure (non-listening), errors (misdemeanor) or deliberate and malicious attempts to defend my claim to pip (infringement). I will seek from you in small claims court: If the court rules on the basis of a judgment of nonfeasance of £5000 compensation in accordance with what Vanessa Haley won in her claim against also £320 for the loss of DLA in April and the order of specific payment performance of £320 per month until the matter is fixed by the DWP. If the court determines on the basis of the judgment a misdemeanor of £7,500 compensation in accordance with what Vanessa Haley won in her claim against THE ATOS plus the premium as a misdemeanor is more serious also £320 for the loss of DLA in April and the order of the specific performance payment of £320 per month until the matter is corrected by the DWP. If the court determines on the basis of the infringement judgment £9,500 compensation in accordance with what Vanessa Haley won in her claim against ATOS plus the premium as a misdemeanor is also more serious £320 for the loss of DLA in April and the order of a specific performance payment of £320 per month until the matter is rect repaired by the DWP. I calculated these amounts on the basis of a previous case in which a person was awarded damages by a court and the actual loss of DLA amounts from April 2018. Below are the documents I intend to rely on in my claim against you: Social Security Regulation (Payment for Personal Independence) 2013: Personal Independence Payment Assessment for Rating Providers: Testimony [REDACTED] Statement of Testimony [REDACTED] PIP Consultation Report Form PA4 V3, drawn up from: Consultation date: [REDACTED] Consultation site: [REDACTED] Consultation time initiated: [REDACTED] Consultation time ended: [REDACTED] Name of the expert conducting the consultation: [REDACTED] Type of expert: Disability assessment — Nurse Response and refutation of PA4 V3. Various documents from my GP and other healthcare professionals, including a letter of support. Your Twitter feed on [REDACTED] Your [REDACTED] is a twitter feed on the [REDACTED] Guardian news paper article written by Lucy Hawking In accordance with the training direction of Pre-Action Behavior I would ask you to provide me with copies of the following documents: a copy of your contract with Capita PIP, as this will bring to light your specific contractual obligations. Diary of your engagements in January and February 2018 including all shifts to [REDACTED] d, all business commitments for [REDACTED], all PIP face-to-face meetings and details of how you manage your household [REDACTED]. PIP face 2 face process to be done in a special way this diary will bring into the light of litigation if they spread themselves too thin to do work with Capita PIP in accordance with legal requirements. I can confirm that I would agree to mediation and would consider any other Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) system to avoid to resolve the matter with the courts. I would like to invite you to make any proposals in this regard. Finally, I would like to draw your attention to paragraphs 15 and 16 of the practical direction, which gives the courts the power to impose sanctions on parties if they do not follow the direction, including not responding to this letter before making a claim. I look forward to hearing from you [REDACTED]. If I do not receive a reply to my letter within this time frame, then I assume that legal proceedings will be initiated without further reference to you. Sincerely [REDACTED] It noticed me capita PIP board. I expected you to be harassing our employee letter, but that's what I wanted as part of my tactical plan, bypass normal employees and talk directly with power behind the phone (and yes, I mean the phone, not the throne, why talk to monkeys when you can give the organ grinder a piece of your mind). So I got the following (making me laugh as I would if the situation had been reversed): Dear [REDACTED], The complaint against [REDACTED] I write further in my letter [REDACTED] to [REDACTED] regarding your PIP consultation [REDACTED]. Please note that [REDACTED] is an employee of Capita Business Services Ltd and as such any claim you may have in connection with such consultation should be addressed to Capita (the Atos case you are quoting was against Atos, not an individual employee, and was subsequently dismissed). [REDACTED] acted firmly within [REDACTED] employment at Capita and did not act dishonestly or fraudulently. We understand that after consultation you have tried to contact [REDACTED] directly through social media, these approaches have caused [REDACTED] distress and [REDACTED] is currently out of work as a direct result. As you are aware of the correct course of action in relation to any complaints or concerns (following our letter of March 12, 2018), we believe that your approaches may constitute harassment and we will not hesitate to contact the police or take further appropriate legal action if you attempt to contact [REDACTED] or any of our employees directly through social media or otherwise. You have been informed that a full review of your case has found that your assessment report does not fully assess your health and functional limitations and that a paper-based review (PBR) can be completed using all supporting evidence available with your original application. Upon completion of the PBR, the message will be sent to DWP, who will be in contact with you if your award decision is changed. I believe this resolves your concerns with the original assessment Please, could you therefore immediately stop in any further communication directly with the [REDACTED] failure, which will have no choice but to consider taking appropriate legal action. We informed you by e-mail of 12 December 2004, with a process that should be followed regarding claims against Capita and we have provided you with a postal address for the delivery of any claims or correspondence: Capita Group Insurance, Business Unit: DWP PIP, 71 Victoria Street, Westminster, LONDON SW1H 0XA. Sincerely, [REDACTED] I replied as follows and to date I have not received any response: Dear [REDACTED], I am writing in response to your letter [REDACTED]. First, you have no position to negotiate for [REDACTED] with the agency, so I can't discuss any matter with you and [REDACTED] shouldn't do so with you. Sharing my letter with a third party who is not employed under the regular procedure may be a violation of the Data Protection Act. You claim [REDACTED] is an employee rather than a subcontractor, maybe you could provide evidence of an exact relationship for me to consider, such as a copy of an unfinished contract. I'd also be interested in how Capita PIP verifies the personal views of DA/HCPs when it comes to benefit claimants in general, as I believe this may be another Sarah Goldstein type of situation where a disability benefits assessor has been fired for voicing Facebook posts saying she wanted a 'catapult claimant back into the s\*\*\* hole he came from'. You have to look at [REDACTED] twitter where it says it is aware of [REDACTED] tweets then look at the [REDACTED] twitter feed, the reposts are somewhat disturbing and may indicate the views of the [REDACTED] household against an entire group of people based on minority actions. REDACTED REDACTED I agree that the claim may be addressed to Capita depending on the exact contractual relationship, but it is a question of law more likely to be answered by the courts. In essence, can da/hcp be held liable in its own name if there is a valid cause of action? I am not aware the ATOS judgment was overturned and I doubt that there was any report of ATOS appealing, but I am aware that it was a judgment by default because no defence was written. Perhaps you could provide evidence of such a cancellation. However, it is useful in determining the amount of compensation as a matter of horizontal view of decisis in a small claim line. As to how [REDACTED] acted or did not act, the question is to test the evidence again for the hearing if that happens. Since you are not treating the agency as a [REDACTED] personal attorney would, I will not discuss my actions. I will make some general remarks, however, firstly, for Capita to report me to the police, I would allegedly have to harass Capita, I am not, so you have no good reason to report me and therefore I would be at risk of being charged with at least wasting police time. [REDACTED] could theoretically report me for alleged harassment. I won't discuss anything with the police unless I'm arrested and then just in the presence of an attorney. Moreover, any such report would open up the risk of impeachment with minimal waste of police time. there is no history, I have never even had a parking or speeding ticket. But I would mention it as well, my record of interacting with law enforcement in this country and abroad is not only whiter than white is lit, and when my record is called to the PNC any allegations will be handled with some skepticism by the [REDACTED] police force arbitrator if he even get as far as his desk. Remember that thanks to modern technology one does not have to be physically in the organization site to do work for the organization. Remember the DLA that I've been on is in-work benefits, and even people with major disabilities, which means they're in an ESA support group being allowed to work. Remember that Face PIP 2 does not ask about the benefits of the condition, my combination of Aspergers, which is more accurately described as high function / gifted with special IQ circumstances, the lowest tested at 145 and eidetic memory. Which is my ability to look at huge amounts of data at multiple neurotypical speeds so that connecting other people can miss and think and advise asymmetrically highly valued people and organizations that quite openly wouldn't believe me even though I was allowed to work out. I explained all the disadvantages of my terms, such as the inability to stay conscious after lunch for example in reply and rebuttal and other documents Capita PIP have. For example, if you read this story: Where it says John Green's iPhone buzzes with an incoming Facebook message, that message was caused by my research, below is a public post I made 4 days later. I was the first person on the planet to link Magnotta to his crime and his subsequent actions when everyone else missed it and the intuitive leap went unnoticed. I also attach an anonymized version of the thanks from the Trading Standards Department, to whom my help was. I acted entirely appropriately within the protocol prior to the commencement of legal proceedings and any allegation of harassment will be strongly denied. I attach a copy of the Harassment Protection Act 1997, maybe you should familiarize yourself with the thresholds. As for capita's civil action against me, I ask, what could be your case? I would say that there is none, because I acted entirely within the law. Before the proceedings began, I sent the last letter, which is in accordance with the Code of Civil Procedure. The next contact [REDACTED] will receive will not be from me that there will be a notice of claim from the [REDACTED] County Court in the minor claims track. [REDACTED] then he will be able to enter the defense. With regard to the direction to the insurance company's management of Capita, it is not the law in England & Wales if a person has a valid cause of action against another person having every right to test the cause in a civil court. I believe I have a valid cause of action, one of three options that could be decided by the court. I admit that I haven't tried to hold the DA/HCP personally accountable yet, but I'm aware of many people considering this trip so they may not be the first to get into court with this suggestion, one of my favorite quotes is this: What's the argument on the other side? Just that there was no case in which it was done before. I don't like this argument in the slightest. If we never do anything that hasn't happened before, we'll never get anywhere. The law will stand still as long as the rest of the world continues, and that will be bad for both of them. Lord Denning, Packer v. Packer [1954] S. 15 in 22. So, like any new concept in law, someone has to go first, for example, the first case involving computer hacking was in the 1980s. On appeal, the conviction is overturned because hacking is not within the legal definition of counterfeiting. The theory of the case is, suppose a full-time DA/HCP earns 35k a year. They work for 48 weeks a year, 5 days a week doing 4 reviews a day. Hence the 960 reviews per year. Suppose that 5% of these reviews are done in some way incorrectly. So 48 go to court against DA/HCP in their own name, suppose half the plaintiffs win. 24 x £5000 = £120,000 So DA/HCP would have to have insurance in their own name and that would knock out bad assessors because the more cases against the assessor, the higher their insurance costs. So just as my actions are a matter of personal justice, it is an attempt to turn the failing system (ESA & PIP review) into compliance with the law of England and Wales. While I have the attention of a PIP captain board member, I would say this, the incentive and punishment system for DA/HCPs should work on the accuracy of messages as follows, while currently it seems to be allowing you to spew as many messages as possible and not care how it will be sorted to MR or LT/UT. (1) The DA/HCP shall draw up a report. 2) DWP will not return for re-work. 3) The report goes through the MRI, where the plaintiff did not provide any further information. DA/HCP gets paid for this message if da/hcp fails gets financial consequences. 4) The report passes the lower & upper tribunal with or without further information from the plaintiff. DA/HCP will receive a bonus for this message. Also Capita PIP should just understand nettle and record all PIP ratings, I suspect that's why Capita invested in I would also say Capita should include advice from a guide to the court hearing to its DA/HCPs board. I closed a site where they say: Social networking, blogging and and the Judicial Technology Committee has been instructed by an expert in formulating this advice. Short facts of my case: I was granted an indefinite DWP grant of low rates of care and a high mobility disability living allowance due to how my various health problems affect me on a daily basis. Principle R(M) 1/96 applies to the re-evaluation of DLA to PIP and is covered by law and regulation in how it is to be implemented. The Upper Tribunal made this decision (R(M) 1/96 principle) on 17 January 2018. Since it applies the new legal principle, it applies only to claims decided upon by the DWP after that date. I had an appointment for face PIP 2 on [REDACTED], which started at [REDACTED] and ended up on [REDACTED] performed by a [REDACTED] nurse registered with the NMC. The report from this face 2 face awarded 0 points for all descriptors, that is beyond the scope of this letter to list all the issues that will be more fully explored in the skeleton argument and evidence for the court, but I will make 2 short points. 1) Hearing aids, I wear them in both ears, it was noticed on the right-hand side of the ear, but still awarded 0 points for the descriptor and the nurse stood to my left when doing physical, so he should see on the left side, or the nurse could simply ask me to examine them. 2) Regulation 1.6.45 Before concluding personal consultations, HP should provide applicants with an overview of the findings they have inferred from the consultation, including data on fluctuations and variability in the function they have recorded. Applicants should be invited to clarify all points and to ask them any questions concerning the assessment procedure and to ask if they would like to include anything else. HP should always try to respond to any issues or concerns it raises. Regulation 1.6.45 was not complied with, if the nurse complied, I would correct the failure (non-listening), errors (misdemeanor) or deliberate and malicious attempts to defend my claim to pip (infringement). As for [REDACTED] being out of work, if [REDACTED] is a full-time employee, and if [REDACTED] is on paid leave, I would suggest [REDACTED] has a lovely paid leave deceived Capita PIP and use the time to earn double income by spending time on [REDACTED] personal business, as you can see from the attached [REDACTED] made a business contribution to [REDACTED]. Your communication will therefore not deter me and I will proceed as I see fit within the framework of the law, which includes alternative dispute resolution under the protocols before taking action. Sincerely [REDACTED] So at the time of writing in the mandatory reassessment phase, I went from 0 points to standard/standard, but I'm still fighting for standard & increased mobility. So I asked for a second look at my MR-level file and have an envelope ready to go on appeal I wouldn't hear back from the DWP today. I have a lawyer and I'll look legal action against my DA/HCP, Capita PIP or DWP or some combination, or all 3 will be dragged to court. If you're trying and need to talk to someone, you can call the Samaritans on 116-123 or email them at jo@samaritans.org jo@samaritans.org

forensic science test answers , free proxy server list apk , bmw\_3.0\_csl.pdf , currency war song hongbing pdf free download , car mechanic simulator 2020 mod apk , microsoft sql server management studio manual pdf , cuanto cm tiene una yarda , normal\_5f61e5158044.pdf , extract image from pdf file using java , 61176819546.pdf , microsoft\_rewards\_reddit\_email\_points.pdf ,